

PREVENTIVE MEDICINE

An Ongoing Series

The Hidden Complexity of Biological "Dirty Bombs" *Implications for Special Operations Medical Personnel*

Michael A. Washington, PhD; Jauchia Blythe, PhD

ABSTRACT

The recent capture of a terrorist in Belgium carrying explosives, fecal matter, and animal tissue may indicate a shift from conventional weapons to crude bacteriological preparations as instruments of terror. It is important to note that although such weapons lack technological sophistication, bacteria are inherently complex, unpredictable, and undetectable in the field. Therefore, it is important that Special Operations medical personnel understand the complications that such seemingly simple devices can add to the treatment of casualties in the field and subsequent evaluation in the clinic.

KEYWORDS: "dirty bombs"; terrorists; warfare, biological

Introduction

Recently, a terrorist bomber arrested in Belgium was found to be carrying a backpack containing a crude mixture of fermented fecal matter, animal testicles, and explosives.¹ It is believed that this device was prepared with the intention of spreading infection during a terror attack. On the surface, the individual components carried by the terrorist appear to lack complexity and sophistication. However, this apparent simplicity masks the fact that, in combination, these components can be a genuine threat to Special Operations military personnel and pose a significant challenge to the practice of Special Operations medicine during counterterrorism or counterinsurgency operations.

Framing the Threat

The threat posed by the materials recovered during this incident arise from the fact that the detonation of a bomb made of fermented feces and animal testicles can cause the traumatic inoculation of bomb debris

into the resulting wound. This can result in the development wound infection and/or sepsis. Despite the lack of refinement of the device carried by the Belgian terrorist, fecal matter is far from a simple substance. It is an incredibly complex aggregation of gut-derived bacteria.² Studies of the microbial composition of the human gut have indicated that bacterial cells outnumber human cells by a factor of 10 and that the average human gut contains more than 1000 microbial species.² Human feces may contain *Escherichia* spp., *Bacteroides* spp., *Clostridium* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., and *Pseudomonas* spp., all organisms that have been implicated in the development of wound infection.³ It has been previously noted that wounds healing is impaired when the concentration of bacteria exceeds 1 million bacterial cells/mL of fluid.² Given that the average concentration of bacteria in 1g of feces can range from 10 million cells to 10 billion cells/g, it can be concluded that infection can be induced in bomb victims with a relatively small amount of starting material and that these infections can impact wound healing and recovery and/or cause life-threatening sepsis.⁵

The inclusion of animal testes in the device is adds a level of complexity that may not be immediately obvious. Animal protein, typically in the form of chopped meat, has long been used to support the growth of bacterial pathogens.⁶ Previous studies have demonstrated that a bacterial broth consisting of chopped meat as a protein source is capable of maintaining the viability of pathogens in for up to 8 weeks.⁶ It is therefore possible that the animal testicles were added to the mixture as a means of encouraging fecal bacterial growth and maintaining bacterial viability. It is worth noting that this inclusion is an act that indicates knowledge of basic microbiology on the part of the bomber and a desire to maximize the effectiveness of his or her weapon.

However, it should also be noted that *Brucella* spp., a highly pathogenic group of organisms, tends to localize in animal testes.⁷ Although it is unknown whether the bomber intended to cultivate *Brucella* spp., the use of testes in the mixture is concerning because *Brucella* has long been considered a potential biological weapon.⁸ This is because it can be transmitted via the aerosol route and because it can cause a debilitating infection in an immunocompetent host with as few as 10 organisms.⁸ In addition, *Brucella* produces toxins that suppress the immune response, an activity that may serve to exacerbate the effects of the other bacterial species in the mixture, delay wound healing, and increase the probability of a negative outcome.⁹

Historical Precedent

The development of such a “low-tech” device is reminiscent of the use of “*punji* sticks” during the Vietnam conflict.¹⁰ Typically consisting of a sharpened stick smeared with feces, these implements were responsible for many of the penetrating and perforating wounds that were encountered by military medical personnel.¹⁰ If the use of such devices becomes more frequent, it will be essential that Special Operations medical personnel understand the complexity of these devices, that they avoid direct contact with them, and that they decontaminate themselves if contact is unavoidable. Further, if a patient is suspected to have been the victim of one of these devices, it is necessary that this suspicion is communicated to the hospital staff so that the appropriate antibiotic treatment and diagnostic testing procedures can be initiated. During the Vietnam conflict, it was found that following the general principles of war wound treatment such as adequate debridement, open wound creation, irrigation, and the use of prophylactic antibiotics was adequate for the treatment of *punji* stick injuries.¹⁰ Experience will determine whether these methods are adequate for the treatment of contaminated blast injuries caused by biological “dirty bombs.”

Recommendations

The detonation of an improvised explosive device can produce complex injuries ranging from shock wave-induced barotrauma to deep penetrating injury.¹¹ It has accepted that all combat wounds are susceptible to infection.¹²⁻¹⁴ Although some bacteria may die from the blast and heat of an explosive detonation, it can be expected that wounds resulting from a biological dirty bomb will have an increased likelihood of bacterial contamination. Nonetheless, the current principles of tactical combat casualty care should be adhered to in all cases.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Because animal studies have indicated that antimicrobial therapy reduces progression to sepsis, prophylaxis should be administered as soon as possible.^{18,19} To this

end, a broad-spectrum antibiotic is typically included in the combat pill pack.²⁰ However, this treatment should not replace the techniques of proper cleaning, irrigation, and debridement, which are essential components of wound care.^{17,21} It should be noted that fecal material is a potential source for antibiotic-resistant bacteria.²² Therefore, all suspected exposures and wounds resulting from a biological dirty bomb detonation should be documented on the tactical combat casualty card and communicated to providers at each successive echelon of care so that bacterial culture and antibiotic sensitivity studies can be performed and the results used to guide treatment.^{23,24}

Conclusion

Although the insertion of fecal matter and animal tissues into a terrorist’s bomb may, at first glance, seem to be a simple and insignificant development, there is an underlying complexity to these materials that can pose a significant threat to military personnel. This complexity arises because the fecal and animal tissue components of these materials harbor numerous pathogenic bacteria capable of magnifying the morbidity and mortality of injuries sustained during detonation. To reduce the impact of these devices on military personnel, it is essential that providers understand and recognize the threat, identify incidents of potential exposure, promptly communicate all potential exposures to the healthcare team, and adhere to the previously established principles of wound care.

References

1. Norman L. 2016, April 9 Belgian suspect Ameroud said to have carried potentially toxic material. *Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved from <http://www.wsj.com/articles/belgian-suspect-ameuroud-said-to-have-carried-potentially-toxic-material-1460210505>.
2. Rigottier-Gois L, Le Bourhis AG, Geneviève G, et al. Fluorescent hybridisation combined with flow cytometry and hybridisation of total RNA to analyse the composition of microbial communities in human faeces using 16S rRNA probes. *FEMS Micro Ecol*. 2003;43:237-245.
3. Murray PR, Rosenthal KS, Pfaffler MA. *Medical Microbiology*. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2015.
4. Bowler PG, Duerden BI, Armstrong DG. Wound microbiology and associated approaches to wound management. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2001;14:244-269.
5. Ott SJ, Musfeldt M, Ullmann U, et al. Quantification of intestinal bacterial populations by real-time PCR with a universal primer set and minor groove binder probes: a global approach to the enteric flora. *J Clin Microsc*. 2004;42:2566-2572.
6. Claros MC, Citron DM, Goldstein EJ. Survival of anaerobic bacteria in various thioglycolate and chopped meat broth formulations. *J Clin Microsc*. 1995;33:2505-2507.
7. Wanke MM. Canine brucellosis. *Anim Reprod Sci*. 2004;82:195-207.
8. Pappas G, Panagopoulou P, Christou L, et al. Biological weapons. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2006;63:2229-2236.

9. Sengupta D, Koblansky A, Gaines J, et al. Subversion of innate immune responses by Brucella through the targeted degradation of the TLR signaling adapter. *Mal J Immunol.* 2010; 184:956–964.
10. Shepard GH, Rich NM, Dimond F. Punji stick wounds: experience with 342 wounds in 324 patients in Vietnam. *Ann Surg.* 1967;166:902.
11. Ramasamy A, Hill AM, Clasper JC. Improvised explosive devices: pathophysiology, injury profiles and current medical management. *JR Army Med Corps.* 2009;155:265–272.
12. Murray CK, Hsu JR, Solomkin JS, et al. Prevention and management of infections associated with combat-related extremity injuries. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2008;64:S239–S251.
13. Butler FK Jr, Holcomb JB, Giebner SD, et al. Tactical combat casualty care 2007: evolving concepts and battlefield experience. *Mil Med.* 2007;172(suppl 1):1–19.
14. Jackson DS. Soldiers injured during the Falklands campaign 1982. Sepsis in soft tissue limb wounds. *JR Army Med Corps.* 2007;153(suppl 1):55–56.
15. Butler FK Jr, Holcomb JB. The tactical combat casualty care transition initiative. *Army Med Dept J.* 2005;33–37.
16. Murray CK, Hsu JR, Solomkin JS, et al. Prevention and management of infections associated with combat-related extremity injuries. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2008;64:S239–S251.
17. Owens BD, Wenke JC. Early wound irrigation improves the ability to remove bacteria. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008;89: 1723–1726.
18. Moesgaard F, Nielsen ML, Justesen T. Experimental animal model of surgical wound infection applicable to antibiotic prophylaxis. *Eur J Clin Microsc.* 1983;2:459–462.
19. Klein RS, Berger SA, Yekutieli P. Wound infection during the Yom Kippur war: observations concerning antibiotic prophylaxis and therapy. *Ann Surg.* 1975;182:15.
20. Butler FK Jr, Kotwal RS, Buckenmaier 3rd CC, et al. A triple-option analgesia plan for tactical combat casualty care: TCCC guidelines change 13-04. *J Spec Oper Med.* 2014;14:13–25.
21. Anglen JO. Wound irrigation in musculoskeletal injury. *J Am Acad Ortho Surg.* 2001;9:219–226.
22. Qin X, Razia Y, Johnson JR, et al. Ciprofloxacin-resistant gram-negative bacilli in the fecal microflora of children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2006;50:3325–3329.
23. Kotwal RS, Butler FK Jr, Montgomery HR, et al. The Tactical Combat Casualty Care Casualty Card TCCC Guidelines-Proposed Change 13-01. Fort Sam Houston, TX: Army Institute of Surgical Research; 2013.
24. Bowler PG, Duerden BI, Armstrong DG. Wound microbiology and associated approaches to wound management. *Clin Microb Rev.* 2001;14:244–269.

Disclaimers

The views expressed in this abstract/manuscript are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Army, Department of Defense, or the US Government.

Disclosures

The authors have nothing to disclose.

MAJ Washington is an active duty microbiologist (AOC 71A) and currently serves as the chief of Microbiology Research in the Department of Clinical Investigation at the Tripler Army Medical Center in Honolulu, Hawaii. He holds a PhD in emerging infectious diseases from the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. E-mail: michael.a.washington120.mil@mail.mil.

Dr Blythe is a biosensor technologist in the Department of Clinical Investigation at Tripler Army Medical Center in Honolulu, Hawaii. She holds a PhD in physiology from Yale University.

Association of Police Officer Paramedics of the United States



The mission of the APOPUS is to advocate for cross-trained police officer EMT/paramedics across the United States. Our advocacy exists in two broad areas. The first is in securing discounted training and education, travel, equipment and supplies, exhibitions, competitions and certifications. The second is to foster professional discourse and communication between our members by recommending pertinent professional journals and articles as well as high-quality initial and sustainment training centers. In doing so, the APOPUS seeks ultimately to advance both the recognition and career opportunities of our members in the United States and abroad.

www.apopus.com



J SOM

JOURNAL of SPECIAL OPERATIONS MEDICINE™



THE JOURNAL FOR OPERATIONAL MEDICINE AND TACTICAL CASUALTY CARE



Inside this Issue:

- › Case Report: Unilateral Renal Cystic Disease
- › Learning Curves of Emergency Tourniquet Use
- › Tourniquet Pressure Loss
- › SWCC Postural Stability With Gear
- › Trigger-Point Dry Needling
- › Physiological Effects of Kettlebell Swing Training
- › Rice-Based Rehydration Drink
- › Comparison of Red-Green Versus Blue Tactical Light
- › Editorial: Pretrauma Interventions: Preventing Battlefield Injuries
- › Ongoing Series: Human Performance Optimization, Infectious Diseases, Injury Prevention, Picture This, Preventive Medicine, Prolonged Field Care, SOFsono Ultrasound Series, Special Talk, The World of Special Operations Medicine, Book Reviews, From the NATO Surgeon, TCCC Updates, TacMed Updates, *and more!*

*Dedicated to the
Indomitable Spirit
and Sacrifices of
the SOF Medic*